

1 MICHELE BECKWITH
2 Acting United States Attorney
3 JASON HITT
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, California 95814

4
5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10 Plaintiff,
11 v.
12 JOSUE RODRIGUEZ,
13 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-MJ-00052-SCR

FINDINGS AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE
5.1(d) AND EXCLUDING TIME

14
15 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for Extension of Time for Preliminary Hearing
16 Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) and Exclusion of Time, filed by the parties in this matter on April 3, 2025. The
17 Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,
18 demonstrates good cause for an extension of time for the preliminary hearing date pursuant to Rule
19 5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

20 Furthermore, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the interests
21 of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant
22 in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the extension of time would
23 not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 2 1. The date of the preliminary hearing is extended to April 11, 2025, at 2:00 p.m.
- 3 2. The time between April 3, 2025, and April 11, 2025, shall be excluded from calculation
- 4 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

5 3. Defendant shall appear at that date and time before the Magistrate Judge on duty.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated: April 2, 2025

Caryn K. Delaney

10 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE